

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/010,435	12/07/2001	Richard Edward Collins	72523	5499	
22242 75	590 06/14/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
FITCH EVEN	TABIN AND FLANN	ROSSI, J	ROSSI, JESSICA		
120 SOUTH LA SUITE 1600	A SALLE STREET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
CHICAGO, IL	60603-3406	1733			
			D. TT. 14.11 ED. 04/14/000	DATE MAN DE COMADONA	

DATE MAILED: 06/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Notice of Abandonment	10/010,435	COLLINS, RICHARD EDWARD				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jessica L. Rossi	1733				
The MAILING DATE of this communication	n appears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
This application is abandoned in view of:						
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate period for reply (including a total extension of times).	e of Mailing or Transmission dated e of month(s)) which expired on	_), which is after the expiration of the				
(b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it o						
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rej application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with	y filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee)					
(c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not confinal rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111.		tempt at a proper reply, to the non-				
(d) ⊠ No reply has been received.						
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue for from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PT (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable	OL-85). , was received on (with a Certifi	cate of Mailing or Transmission date				
Allowance (PTOL-85).	James of C is due					
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A ba		7 CFR 1 18(d) is \$				
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.						
(c) [The lease less and publication less, in applicable, in						
 Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as Allowability (PTO-37). 						
(a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.						
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.						
The letter of express abandonment which is signed I the applicants.	by the attorney or agent of record, the as	ssignee of the entire interest, or all of				
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed later 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	by an attorney or agent (acting in a repre	esentative capacity under 37 CFR				
6. ☐ The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Into of the decision has expired and there are no allowed		use the period for seeking court revie				
7. The reason(s) below:						
		JEFF H. AFTERGOT PRIMARY EXAMINER				
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to w minimize any negative effects on patent term.	ithdraw the holding of abandonment under 3	7 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01) No	tice of Abandonment	Part of Paper No. 8				

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summ	nary	10/010,435	COLLINS, RICHARD EDWARD				
	•	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Jessica L. Rossi	1733				
All Participants:		Status of Application:	_				
(1) <u>Jessica L. Rossi</u> .		(3)					
(2) Mr. Samples.		(4)					
Date of Interview: 26 May 2004		Time:					
Type of Interview: ☑ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant [☐ Applicar	nt's representative)					
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:	No						
Part I.							
Rejection(s) discussed:							
Claims discussed:							
Prior art documents discussed:							
Part II.							
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Courtesy call placed to confirm no response sent to office action mailed on 11/20/03. Applicant confirmed no response had been sent.							
Part III.							
 ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 							
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature - if appropriate)				